Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)		
		SON-2967		
	Application N	lumber	Filed	
	10/799,617-Conf. #8418		March 15, 2004	
	First Named Inventor			
	Koji Tsukimori			
	Art Unit		Examiner	
	2111		F. M. Zaman	
nis request is being filed with a notice of appeal. the review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attandate. Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.).		
am the applicant /inventor.	-	S	40,199 Mgnature	
assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	<u></u>		nanen – Christopher M. Tobi ped or printed name	
x attorney or agent of record.				
Registration number 24,104 – 40,290				
atternoy or agent acting under 27 OED 4 24	-		202) 955-3750 elephone number	
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.			May 30, 2008	
Addition number is desiring under or OTA 1.04.			Date	
OTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of thubmit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see b		t or their repres	entative(s) are required.	
*Total of1 forms are submitted.	<u> </u>			

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05)
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x. OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to res	pond to a collection	of information unle	ss it displays a valid OMB control number		
		Docket Number (Optional)			
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		SON-2967			
	Application Number Filed 10/799,617-Conf. March 15, 2004 #8418 First Named Inventor		Filed		
			March 15, 2004		
	Koji Tsukimori				
·					
	Art Unit		Examiner		
	2111		F. M. Zaman		
Applicant requests review of the first rejection to the of	identified	dianties No.	amendments are being filed		
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.					
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.					
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.					
•			^		
		/	$\cap \mathcal{L}$		
I am the		\mathcal{A}_{I}	V1.199		
applicant /inventor.	_	- U	1/(X!")		
assignee of record of the entire interest.	_		Signature		
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b)	statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b)				
is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)			ed or printed name		
x attorney or agent of record.	•				
Registration number 24,104 – 40,290					
	_		202) 955-3750		
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		Telephone number			
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		May 30, 2008 Date			
			·		
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.					
Submit multiple forms in more than one signature is required, see t			=		
*Total of 1 forms are submitted.					
Total or Total are additited.					



Docket No.: SON-2967

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Koji Tsukimori et al.

Application No.: 10/799,617

Confirmation No.: 8418

Filed: March 15, 2004

Art Unit: 2111

For: EDITING SYSTEM

Examiner: F. M. Zaman

REQUEST FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF PANEL REVIEW OF REJECTION

MS AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in full and timely response to the Office Action mailed on December 20, 2007. Reexamination in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 9-36 are currently pending in this application, with claims 9, 16, 20, 23 and 32 being independent. *No new matter has been added.*

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101

While not conceding the propriety of this rejection and in order to advance the prosecution of the above identified application, claims 32-36 have been amended in the manner suggested within the Amendment After Final Action Under 37 C.F.R. §1.116.

The Advisory Action of April 10, 2008 indicates entry of the Amendment.

Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103

Paragraph 4 indicates a rejection of claims 9-36 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,675,215 to Cedola (Cedola) and the Description of the Related Art (AAPA).

Docket No.: SON-2967

This rejection is traversed at least for the following reasons.

Paragraph [0019] of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0199708, the publication document for the above-identified application, provides that:

[0019] The <u>timing notice apparatus 4</u> has a timing generation unit 12, in which a synchronization information extraction circuit 13 is arranged. The synchronization information extraction circuit 13 of the timing generation unit 12 <u>receives a reference signal S1</u> provided from outside, and sequentially <u>extracts frame synchronization information stored in the reference signal S</u> under frame timing and concurrently <u>sends thus extracted frame synchronization information</u> to the USB controller 11 through the CPU 10 <u>as a timing notice signal S2</u> for the frame timing notice so as to input the timing notice signal S2 to an end point for USB interrupt transfer arranged in the USB controller 11.

Figure 1 of Cedola arguably shows a computer system 20 having a host computer 22 connected to a client computing device 24 via a serial connection 26 (Cedola at column 2, lines 58-60).

The Office Action appears to associate element 24 of Cedola with the computer found within the claims of the present application and appears to associate element 22 with the timing notice apparatus found within the claims of the present application (Office Action at page 3).

DC315520.DOC 2

Docket No.: SON-2967

** Here, the Office Action <u>admits</u> that Cedola <u>fails</u> to teach the presence of a timing generation unit being adapted to extract frame synchronization information from a reference signal (Office Action at page 3). **

To account for this feature admittedly being deficient from within Cedola, the Office Action contends that AAPA teaches a timing generation unit being adapted to extract frame synchronization information from a reference signal (Office Action at page 3).

In response to this contention, the Description of the Related Art (AAPA) may be found within paragraphs [0004]-[0006] of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0199708, the publication document for the above-identified application. Paragraph [0005] of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0199708 provides that:

[0005] In the conventional editing system, in some cases, a <u>personal computer</u> is provided with a <u>reference signal</u> in which <u>frame synchronization information</u> is sequentially stored under timing indicative of temporal beginning of a temporally consecutive frame corresponding to a frame frequency of image data to be edited (referred to as frame timing, hereinafter) so as to edit the image data to be edited in synchronization with the frame timing generated by <u>extracting</u> the <u>frame</u> <u>synchronization information</u> from the <u>reference signal</u>.

In this regard, the Office Action appears to conclude that host computer 22 of Cedola and the personal computer of AAPA (AAPA at paragraph [0005]) are one in the same (Office Action at pages 3-4).

** However, AAPA <u>fails</u> to teach the <u>personal computer</u> of AAPA as being capable of transmitting the <u>frame synchronization information</u> of AAPA to another device. **

Cedola arguably teaches that according to this protocol, *the client computing device 24 initiates* a communication session by sending over a message consisting of the text string "C", "L",

DC315520.DOC 3

Docket No.: SON-2967

"I", "E", "N", "T" and <u>the host computer 22</u> **replies** with a message ""S", "E", "R", "V", "E", "R", "C", "L", "I", "E", "N", "T"" (Cedola at column 3, lines 38-40).

** However, the Office Action <u>fails</u> to show why the skilled artisan would have considered the <u>message ""S", "E", "R", "V", "E", "R", "C", "L", "I", "E", "N", "T""</u> from the host computer 22 of Cedola and <u>frame synchronization information</u> found within AAPA to have been one in the same. **

Instead, the invention of Cedola concerns a baud rate detection system and method for automatically detecting the baud rate at which a client computing device is communicating with a host computer over a serial connection (Cedola at column 2, lines 16-19), whereas AAPA provides for a personal computer is provided with a reference signal in which frame synchronization information is sequentially stored under timing indicative of temporal beginning of a temporally consecutive frame corresponding to a frame frequency of image data to be edited (referred to as frame timing, hereinafter) so as to edit the image data to be edited in synchronization with the frame timing generated by extracting the frame synchronization information from the reference signal (AAPA at paragraph [0005]).

Moreover, Cedola <u>fails</u> to teach host computer 22 of Cedola as transmitting <u>frame</u> <u>synchronization information</u> upon receipt of the <u>text string "C", "L", "I", "E", "N", "T"</u> from the client computing device 24 of Cedola.

Additionally, the Office Action <u>fails</u> to show that the skilled artisan would have been motivated to refer to the <u>frame synchronization information</u> of AAPA as a suitable replacement for the <u>message ""S", "E", "R", "V", "E", "R", "C", "L", "I", "E", "N", "T"" from the host computer 22 of Cedola</u>. See, for example, *In re Dillon*, 13 USPQ2d 1337, 1342 (Fed. Cir. 1989), and M.P.E.P. §2143.01, section "The Proposed Modification Cannot Change The Principle Of Operation Of A Reference."

Cedola and AAPA, either individually or as a whole, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest all features of the claims found within the present application.

DC315520.DOC 4

Withdrawal of this rejection and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 30, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald P. Kananen

Registration No.: 24,104

Christopher M. Tobin

Registration No.: 40,290

RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC Correspondence Customer Number: 23353

Attorney for Applicant